UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,191	07/03/2007	Gerhard Frey	095309.57676US	8438
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 03/11/201 MORING LLP	1	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			BROADHEAD, BRIAN J	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/578,191	FREY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BRIAN J. BROADHEAD	3664	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this commuNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The substitution of t	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>9-25</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>9-25</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <a href="May 2006">04 May 2006</a> is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (c) is objected to. See 37 CFR	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-4-06</u> .		formal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/578,191 Page 2

Art Unit: 3664

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 11, the claim recites "transmit" when it appears that it should be "receive". Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claims 15 and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities: It appears "for" should be inserted before "at least one" on line 3 of the claims.

  Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 9-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 9 recites that actuation signals are generated from the coordination level in the first paragraph, but then in the last paragraph recites that the axle control module "determined actuation signals". How the invention works is not clear from the claims. Since it appears actuation signals are coming from two places at once. Overall, the claim is hard to understand as currently

written. For example, the execution level is recited but the relationship to the other claim elements is never recited. Is the axle electronic module also connected to the execution level?

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 9-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 9 recites the limitation "actuation signals" in lines 4, 12, and 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is not clear if these are all the same actuation signals or different from each other.
- 6. Claim 9 recites the limitation "said at least one axle actuator" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 9 recites the limitation "can be" in line 2. This limitation makes it unclear whether what follows is required in the invention or optional.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRIAN J. BROADHEAD whose telephone number is (571)272-6957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Khoi Tran can be reached on 571-272-6919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,191 Page 4

Art Unit: 3664

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian J. Broadhead/ Examiner, Art Unit 3664